

ICU

MANAGEMENT

THE OFFICIAL MANAGEMENT AND PRACTICE JOURNAL

VOLUME 15 - ISSUE 3 - AUTUMN 2015



VISIT US
@ ESICM LIVES
2015
STAND 21

The Brain

PLUS

Infections in the Immunosuppressed
and Immunocompromised Patient

Nutrition Monitoring

Critically Ill Diabetic Patients

Heart-Lung Interactions from the
Lung's Perspective

Fluid Resuscitation in Burns

Benchmarking: Lessons Learnt

Intensive Care Systems Research:
Interview with Hannah Wunsch

Country Focus: Canada



www.icu-management.org



FLUID RESUSCITATION IN BURNS

WHAT IS NEW?

The understanding of burn shock pathophysiology and subsequent development of fluid resuscitation strategies have led to dramatic outcome improvements in burn care during the last decades. However, while under-resuscitation has become rare in clinical practice, there is growing concern that over-resuscitation, leading to increased morbidity and mortality, has become more and more common in burn care. In this article, current evidence regarding the available resuscitation fluids is discussed as well as the endpoints that can be used to guide fluid resuscitation.



Manu LNG Malbrain

Hospital Director
ICU and High Care Burn Unit Director
Ziekenhuis Netwerk Antwerpen
ZNA Stuivenberg
Antwerp, Belgium

manu.malbrain@askynet.be



Yannick Peeters

ICU
Ziekenhuis Netwerk Antwerpen
ZNA Stuivenberg
Antwerp, Belgium

yannickpeeters@hotmail.com

Robert Wise

Department of Critical Care
Edendale Hospital
Perioperative Research Group
University of Kwazulu Natal
Pietermaritzburg
South Africa

robertwise@webafrica.org.za

Following a severe burn injury, an overwhelming systemic inflammatory response with capillary leak syndrome is initiated, resulting in a combined hypovolaemic and septic shock (Malbrain et al. 2014a). Numerous articles regarding burn resuscitation have been published over the last decades; however, there is no universal consensus on how to achieve adequate resuscitation whilst avoiding the adverse effects of excessive resuscitation. As a consequence, a dynamic fluid protocol including also active de-resuscitation is needed (Cordemans et al. 2012a; Cordemans et al. 2012b; Kushimoto et al. 2012; Kirkpatrick et al. 2013).

Historical Background

Although burn wounds and burn-related deaths have been part of human history, fluid resuscitation management is relatively new, dating back less than a century. In 1921, landmark research was performed by Frank Underhill following the New Haven Rialto Theater fire (Underhill 1930). Following the Coconut Grove fire in 1942, Cope and Moore stated in a series of articles on thermal injury that wound oedema contributed to the burn shock, and the proposed volume for resuscitation was based on the patient's body weight and the severity of the burn, the so-called "body weight burn budget" (Cope and Moore 1947). In 1952 Evans postulated a formula for fluid volumes based on total burned surface area (TBSA) and also introduced colloids in burn resuscitation (Evans et al. 1952). This formula would become the standard until the 1960s (Haynes et al. 1955). At that time Baxter and Shires developed their pivotal formula at the Parkland Memorial Hospital, which has lasted decades as the gold standard for fluid resuscitation in acute burn care across the world (Baxter and Shires 1968). The formula advocates 4ml crystalloids per kg per % of TBSA per 24h, of which half is given during the first eight hours (Baxter and Shires 1968). Resuscitation fluids are guided by diuresis (target 1 ml/kg/hour) and increased with steps of 25%. During the second 24 hours of resuscitation, colloids are allowed, and resuscitation volume is adapted according to diuresis (with a gradual decrease if diuresis is adequate).

Fluid Creep

Over the last 15 years, however, multiple centres have reported excess fluid administration (Cartotto and Zhou 2010; Faraklas et al. 2012; Saffle 2007; Shah et al. 2003; Strang et al. 2014). This fluid excess often leads to "resuscitation morbidity", a group of complications linked to fluid overload, such as pulmonary oedema (due to capillary leak and increased extravascular lung water), delayed

wound healing, delayed recovery of gastrointestinal function (with ileus), limb compartment syndrome, orbital compartment syndrome, intra-abdominal hypertension (IAH) and abdominal compartment syndrome (ACS) leading to multiple organ failure (Malbrain et al. 2014a; Kirkpatrick et al. 2013; Malbrain et al. 2014b; Pruitt 2000; Sullivan et al. 2006; Ball et al. 2006).

This discrepancy between the predicted and the administered fluid is known as "fluid creep", a term brought to life by Basil Pruitt (2000). There are different hypotheses regarding the phenomenon, although its cause remains uncertain. One interesting hypothesis is that of "opioid creep", a term introduced by Sullivan et al. (2004).

Over the last fifteen years, a lot of attention has been paid to the phenomenon of fluid creep, and the awareness of morbidity caused by resuscitation has grown significantly. Efforts should therefore be made to avoid "futile loading" with excessive amounts of crystalloids and the role of colloids in early resuscitation needs to be further investigated (Lawrence et al. 2010).

Recommendations for fluid resuscitation, resuscitation endpoints and suggestions for treatment algorithms are listed in Table 1.

Types of Resuscitation Fluid

Crystalloids

Crystalloids are aqueous solutions of mineral salts, which are freely permeable across membranes. In 1882 a "normal" saline solution (NaCl 0.9%, 154 mEq/L) was developed by Hamburger, believing it was the sodium concentration of the plasma (Awad et al. 2008). The most well-known adverse effect is hyperchloremic metabolic acidosis after infusion of large volumes saline and, as such, **normal saline** cannot be recommended as resuscitation fluid in severe burns (Stephens and Mythen 2000). The "balanced" or "physiologic" solutions such as lactated Ringer's, Hartmann's solution or Plasmalyte add the anion bicarbonate in the form of lactate,

acetate or gluconate; it provides a strong ion difference which is feasible from an acid-base perspective (Van Regenmortel et al. 2014; McDermid et al. 2014; Young et al. 2014). From the beginning of burn resuscitation until present, most resuscitation formulas advocate the use of **balanced crystalloid solutions** and as such they are a pragmatic initial choice in severe burns patients. One observational study reported lower Sequential Organ Failure (SOFA) scores in severely burned patients resuscitated with Ringer's acetate (Gille et al. 2014).

Colloids

Specifically in burn resuscitation, the use of colloids in the first 24 hours has been controversial since it was thought that the existing capillary leak would allow large molecules to leak into the extravascular space and exert an osmotic pull increasing the formation of oedema (Baxter 1974). During the last decades colloids have been omitted from many resuscitation formulas. In the last fifteen years however, renewed interest in colloids has arisen, instigated by the awareness of morbidity related to resuscitation and fluid creep. Until recently, the low molecular weight hydroxyethyl starch (HES) solutions were widely used as a resuscitation fluid in critically ill ICU, surgical and burn patients. However, recent trials such as Crystalloid versus Hydroxyethyl Starch Trial (CHEST), Scandinavian Starch for Severe Sepsis/Septic Shock (6S) and Effects of Voluten on Hemodynamics and Tolerability of Enteral Nutrition in Patients With Severe Sepsis (CRYSTMAS) showing increased mortality and higher rate of renal replacement therapy have raised alarming conclusions regarding the safety of HES solutions (Myburgh et al. 2012; Perner et al. 2012; Guidet et al. 2012). This led to the recommendation of the Pharmacovigilance Risk Assessment Committee (PRAC) **against the use of HES solutions** in patients with sepsis, burn injuries or critically ill patients, because of increased kidney injury and mortality (Coriat et al. 2014).

Albumin

Albumin is a natural plasma protein that contributes most to intravascular oncotic pressure in humans. The most common solution is 4 or 5% albumin in saline. It is a relatively expensive solution and its availability may be limited in some countries. Although albumin resuscitation has been used with some reservation, especially in the acute phase of burn resuscitation, trials provide promising data regarding the use of albumin as an adjunctive in burn resuscitation (Lawrence et al. 2010; Cochran et al. 2007).

Table 1. Recommendations Regarding Fluid Resuscitation and Resuscitation Endpoints in Severe Burns Patients


Fluids	
1. Normal saline	Given the fact that fluid resuscitation in burn management requires large volumes, the use of saline cannot be recommended in a burn resuscitation protocol.
2. Balanced crystalloid	Based on the available evidence, balanced crystalloid solutions are a pragmatic initial resuscitation fluid in the majority of acutely ill (and burn) patients.
3. Semi-synthetic colloids	Given the recent data concerning the use of semi-synthetic colloids (and starches in particular), their use in critically ill patients, including burn patients, cannot be recommended.
4. Albumin	Based on the available evidence the use of albumin 20% can be recommended in severe burns, especially in the de-resuscitation phase guided by indices of capillary leak, body weight, (cumulative) fluid balance, fluid overload, extravascular lung water and IAP.
5. Hypertonic solutions	To this day, there is insufficient evidence to reach consensus regarding the safety of hypertonic saline in burn resuscitation. Whenever using hypertonic saline in clinical practice however, close monitoring of sodium levels is highly advised.
Adjunctive Therapy	
6. Vitamin C	Given the available evidence, the benefit of adjunctive high dose ascorbic acid treatment can be highly suspected to limit fluid intake and to prevent secondary abdominal hypertension; and equally important, no adverse effects have been reported.
7. Plasmapheresis	The benefit of plasmapheresis on outcome in burn patients still needs to be validated in large prospective, randomised trials. As such its use cannot be recommended.
8. Intravenous immunoglobulins (IVIg)	The use of IVIg should be limited to cases of toxic epidermal necrolysis.
Abdominal Hypertension	
9. Intra-abdominal pressure (IAP)	During the resuscitation phase as well as the recovery phase intra-abdominal pressure (IAP) needs to be measured in burn patients at least 4 to 6 times per day.
10. Medical treatment	Medical management (improvement of abdominal compliance, evacuation of intra-luminal contents, limitation of fluid intake, optimisation of organ perfusion) comes first, and should be initiated whenever IAP increases above 12 mmHg.
11. Surgical treatment	Escharotomies should be performed in case of circular thoracic or abdominal eschars. Surgical decompressive laparotomy is only a last resort in case medical management fails.
Resuscitation Endpoints	
12. Monitoring	Every severely burned patient (>20% TBSA in adults or > 15% TBSA in children) should be adequately monitored with regard to fluid status, fluid responsiveness and organ perfusion.
13. Urine output	Diuresis is a poor endpoint that may lead to over- or under- estimation of fluid resuscitation and as such can no longer be recommended. However, in situations with limited monitoring techniques it can still be used to guide fluid resuscitation (See urine output algorithm).
14. Barometric preload	Barometric preload indicators like central venous pressure (CVP) or pulmonary artery occlusion pressure (PAOP) should not be used to guide fluid resuscitation in burn patients.
15. Volumetric preload	Volumetric preload indicators (like right ventricular or global end-diastolic volume) are superior compared to barometric ones and are recommended to guide fluid resuscitation, especially in burn patients with increased IAP. (See GEDVI algorithm).
16. Lung water	The use of extravascular lung water is recommended to guide de-resuscitation in burn patients not transgressing spontaneously from Ebb to Flow phase.
17. Fluid responsiveness	Fluid resuscitation in burn patients should be guided by physiological parameters or tests that are able to predict fluid responsiveness. (See PPV algorithm).
18. Perfusion	Fluid resuscitation should only be given/increased in case of evidence of tissue hypoperfusion (base deficit, lactate, etc.).
Stepwise approach	
19. PPV Algorithm	If a patient is sedated and mechanically ventilated, an algorithm based on pulse pressure variation (PPV) can be used in severe burns, under the condition that PPV measurements are reliable (see Figure 1).
20. GEDVI algorithm	If PPV is unreliable, volumetric parameters obtained with transpulmonary thermodilution can be used to guide fluid resuscitation in severe burns. Here, the GEDVI is interpreted as a measure of preload and EVLWI as a safety parameter warning for pending pulmonary oedema (see Figure 2). If the GEDVI is high, the measurement needs to be corrected with the global ejection fraction as this leads to a more accurate estimation of preload.
21. Urine output algorithm	If PPV or volumetric parameters are unreliable, or when monitoring possibilities are limited, urine output can be used to guide fluid resuscitation in severe burns

CVP: central venous pressure IAP: intra-abdominal pressure TBSA: total burned surface area
 EVLWI: extravascular lung water index IVIG: intravenous immunoglobulins
 GEDVI: global end-diastolic volume index PAOP: pulmonary artery occlusion pressure

Table 2. Global Ejection Fraction Corrected Volumetric Target Values


Ejection Fraction	5%	10%	15%	20%	25%	30%	35%	40%	45%	50%	55%
GEDVI-target (normal)	1175	1050	950	850	775	700	625	575	525	475	435
GEDVI-target (critically ill)	1450	1300	1150	1025	925	825	750	675	600	550	500

GEDVI global end-diastolic volume index, (normal) refers to a stable patient, (critically ill) refers to an unstable patient with clinical diminished preload



AF: atrial fibrillation
 BE: base excess
 CI: cardiac index
 ES: extrasystole
 GEDVI: global end-diastolic volume index
 IAP: intra-abdominal pressure
 ITP: intrathoracic pressure
 MAP: mean arterial pressure
 PEEP: positive end-expiratory pressure
 PPV: pulse pressure variation
 TV: tidal volume

Figure 1. Pulse pressure variation algorithm to guide resuscitation in severely burned patients. If the patient is mechanically ventilated and PPV is reliable fluid resuscitation is guided by the PPV algorithm.



BE: base excess
 CI: cardiac index
 EVLWI: extravascular lung water index
 GEDVI: global end-diastolic volume index
 IAP: intra-abdominal pressure
 MAP: mean arterial pressure

Figure 2. Global end-diastolic volume index algorithm to guide resuscitation in severely burned patients. If PPV is unreliable and the patient has a PICCO catheter and GEDVI is not reliable fluid resuscitation is guided by the GEDVI algorithm.

Hypertonic saline

Hypertonic saline has been used for decades in burn resuscitation; theoretically, it expands the circulating volume by an intravascular water shift (Duchesne et al. 2015). This will decrease tissue oedema and lower the rate of complications claimed by proponents. In the 1970s, studies concluded that hypertonic saline indeed reduces the volume needed for burn resuscitation (Monafo et al. 1973; Moylan et al. 1973).

Adjunctive Therapy

Vitamin C

In the 1990s, Matsuda et al. were able to reduce fluid requirements and oedema formation during burn resuscitation in dogs and guinea pigs using high-dose ascorbic acid treatment (Matsuda et al. 1991; Matsuda et al. 1992). A few years later they reproduced in a prospective, randomised study the proposed beneficial effects of high dose ascorbic acid in humans (Tanaka et al. 2000).

Plasmapheresis

In the 1980s a retrospective study described plasmapheresis treatment in patients who failed to respond to conventional therapy. This therapeutic response was characterised by a sharp decrease in fluid requirements from a mean of 260% above the predicted hourly volume to within calculated requirements by 2.3 hours following plasma exchange (Warden et al. 1983).

Role of Abdominal Hypertension

Intra-abdominal hypertension (IAH) and abdominal compartment syndrome (ACS) are major complications in burn patients leading to multi-organ dysfunction and death, requiring specific strategies to prevent, monitor, diagnose and treat these complications (Malbrain et al. 2015). In 1994 it was reported that the incidence of ACS was linked with the extent of burn injury. This relationship between TBSA and development of ACS was confirmed in other studies (Strang et al. 2014; Oda et al. 2006; Ivy et al. 2000; Kirkpatrick et al. 2009). Typically, ACS occurs when TBSA is greater than 60%; however, patients with a lower TBSA may also develop IAH/ACS (Oda et al. 2006). Whether the development of IAH and ACS is iatrogenic or can be avoided is not clear. In 2000 Ivy stated that a volume administration of > 250ml/kg in the first 24 hours is a risk factor for ACS; this fluid quantity is known as the Ivy index (Ivy et al. 2000).

©For personal and private use only. Reproduction must be permitted by the copyright holder. Email to copyright@mindbyte.eu.

Resuscitation Targets and Endpoints

When resuscitating burn patients, clinicians need to evaluate the optimal amount of fluid to be given. The clinical interpretation of haemodynamic status can be very difficult in burn patients, which is problematic because there is a risk of inadequate organ perfusion as well as a risk of over-resuscitation.

Urine Output

Urine output has classically been the primary endpoint to guide resuscitation in burn care; popular opinion was that a target diuresis of 0.5ml/kg/h in adults and 1ml/kg/h in a paediatric population should be pursued. This endpoint, however, has been doubted in studies. In a retrospective review (Dries and Waxman 1991), there was no correlation between urine output and invasively derived physiologic variables; moreover urine output was unable to identify fluid responders after a fluid challenge. Other studies also suggest the inconsistency of urine output as a resuscitation target (Shah et al. 2003; Pruitt 2000), perhaps even contributing to the phenomenon of fluid creep.

Barometric Preload

Studies in recent years have questioned the efficacy of pulmonary artery occlusion pressure (PAOP) and central venous pressure (CVP), as endpoints for resuscitation, as these parameters do not correlate with ventricular filling pressures and ventricular end-diastolic volumes (Marik 2011; Michard and Teboul 2002). In a systematic review, Marik found a very poor relationship between CVP and blood volume, as well as the inability to predict the haemodynamic response to a fluid challenge, making CVP and PAOP obsolete as standardised endpoints for fluid resuscitation. Its use should be reserved for specific indications (Marik et al. 2008).

Volumetric Preload

Advances in technology such as transpulmonary thermodilution allow the monitoring of preload in static volumetric indices such as global end-diastolic volume (GEDV) and extravascular lung water (EVLW). Numerous studies have shown that these volumetric indices represent preload more precisely in comparison to urine output

(Csontos et al. 2008; Sanchez et al. 2013) or cardiac filling pressures (Lichtwarck-Aschoff et al. 1996; Malbrain et al. 2010), where during the early resuscitation phase, hypovolaemia might not be reflected by blood pressure or urine output (Sanchez et al. 2013). Correcting these volumetric preload parameters by measures of ejection fraction, this further improves the ability of these parameters to assess changes in preload over time as presented in Table 2 (Malbrain et al. 2010). The EVLW together with the pulmonary vascular permeability index (PVPI) can be used to determine the presence of lung oedema, which can be very useful as a safety parameter during resuscitation (Sanchez et al. 2013), in patients with inhalation injury or in guiding fluid de-resuscitation if a patient fails to proceed to the “flow” phase (Sanchez et al. 2014a; Cordemans et al. 2012a; Cordemans et al. 2012b).

Fluid Responsiveness

To determine the benefit of fluid administration, several clinical and haemodynamic tests can be used. As mentioned above, CVP does not

For personal and private use only. Reproduction must be permitted by the copyright holder. Email to copyright@mindbyte.eu.



MARK THE DATES!

international fluid
academy days



THURSDAY TO SATURDAY / NOV 26TH-28TH
2015 / HILTON – ANTWERP / BELGIUM

A CONCISE BUT COMPLETE 3 DAY SYMPOSIUM ON FLUID MANAGEMENT AND MONITORING IN THE CRITICALLY ILL

PRESYMPOSIUM ON NOV 26TH / 3RD CACU

COURSE ON ACUTE CARE ULTRASOUND / (BEGINNERS AND ADVANCED) /




ORGANIZED BY THE INTERNATIONAL FLUID ACADEMY

INFO@IMERIT.ORG WWW.FLUID-ACADEMY.ORG

FOLLOW US ON:





BE: base excess
 CI: cardiac index
 IAP: intra-abdominal pressure

MAP: mean arterial pressure
 UO: urine output

Figure 3. Urine output algorithm to guide resuscitation in severely burned patients. If the patient has no PICCO catheter (or GEDVI is not reliable) and PPV is not reliable, fluid resuscitation is guided by the UO algorithm.

predict fluid responsiveness (Michard and Teboul 2002; Marik et al. 2008), but studies have shown that dynamic parameters, obtained by invasive arterial monitoring and pulse contour analysis, such as pulse pressure variation (PPV) and stroke volume variation (SVV) are highly predictive of fluid responsiveness (Marik et al. 2009) in mechanically ventilated patients.

Holistic Approach: Introduction of a New Protocol

In an attempt to effectively guide fluid resuscitation in burn patients in the future, whilst avoiding deleterious effects of over-resuscitation, a multimodal protocol using a modified formula and multiple endpoints is suggested.

Fluid resuscitation is initiated in adults with >20% TBSA and children with >15% TBSA. A modified Parkland formula is suggested; a balanced crystalloid is given at 2 ml/kg/%TBSA in the first 24 hours in combination with albumin 20% at 0.2 ml/kg/%TBSA; half of the total dose of crystalloids and colloids is given in the first eight hours, the other half between 8-24 hours. During the next 24 hours a calcium-free balanced salt solution is given at 0.75 ml/kg /%TBSA in combination with albumin 20% at 0.075 ml/kg /%TBSA. These resuscitation rates are fixed, and fluids are gradually decreased after the first 24 hours of burn shock resuscitation. Throughout the resuscitation, basic fluid needs are

supplemented in the form of a glucose containing solution at 30ml/kg/24h; enteral nutrition, if given, is subtracted from this basic fluid administration total. Fluids are changed or adapted throughout resuscitation according to biochemical analysis (base excess (BE), lactate, etc.) and concomitant medical conditions (see algorithms as discussed further). During the first 24 hours, resuscitation fluids as calculated above are kept at constant rate and when needed fluid boluses can be given. De-resuscitation (with gradual decrease in resuscitation fluids) is only started after the first 24 hours. Extra albumin 20% can be administered based on serum levels of albumin (target 30 g/L) or colloid oncotic pressure (COP, target 16-18 mmHg).

PPV Algorithm

Different endpoints in combination with lactate and BE can be used in order to guide fluid resuscitation. If a patient is sedated and mechanically ventilated, PPV is used, whenever reliable. The subsequent PPV algorithm is presented in Figure 1; however, the clinician needs to check whether the patient has conditions leading to incorrect interpretation of PPV (Hofkens et al. 2015).

GEDVI Algorithm

If PPV is unreliable, volumetric parameters are measured with the use of transpulmonary thermidilation. Here the GEDVI is interpreted as a measure

of preload and EVLWI as a safety parameter warning for pending pulmonary oedema. The subsequent GEDVI algorithm is presented in Figure 2. Correct interpretation of volumetric parameters needs to be done in relation to the presence or not of other conditions (like valvulopathy, catheter position, extracorporeal circuit, etc.) (Hofkens et al. 2015).

Urine Output Algorithm

If PPV or volumetric parameters are unreliable, or when monitoring possibilities are limited; urine output (UO) can be used to guide fluid resuscitation. The subsequent UO algorithm is presented in Figure 3. The importance of measuring IAP needs to be underlined when using urine output as a resuscitation target, as IAH and ACS decrease urine output.

Conclusions

Burn resuscitation keeps evolving and new trends develop. Over the last fifteen years, much attention was given to avoid over-resuscitation and subsequent morbidity and mortality; fluid creep is recognised by nearly all physicians involved in burn care.

Efforts should be made to avoid excess crystalloid administration by re-thinking resuscitation protocols. Evidence suggests that the addition of a colloid such as albumin 20% may decrease fluid requirements, and may potentially reduce resuscitation-related morbidity; however, the use of colloids in burn resuscitation continues to be a great source of controversy and discussion.

Endpoints of burn resuscitation should be redefined. The traditional UO target does not represent preload accurately; however, barometric preload parameters also seem to lack this ability. Evidence suggests that advanced haemodynamic monitoring with pulse contour analysis (PPV) and transpulmonary thermidilation (GEDVI) may provide superior endpoints to prevent under-resuscitation, while EVLWI can be used as a safety parameter to avoid over-resuscitation and to guide the de-resuscitation process.

Acknowledgements

MLNGM is member of the medical advisory board of Pulsion Medical Systems (Maquet Getinge Group). The other authors have no possible conflicts of interest related to the content of this paper. This article is a selection of a full review paper published under the Open Access CC BY Licence in *Anaesthesiology and Intensive Therapy*. ■

For full references, please email editorial@icu-management.org, visit www.icu-management.org or use the article QR code.